

February 27, 1969

**Memorandum from Ralph Earle, Office of
International Security Affairs to Secretary of
Defense Laird, 'Stopping the Introduction of Nuclear
Weapons Into the Middle East'**

Citation:

"Memorandum from Ralph Earle, Office of International Security Affairs to Secretary of Defense Laird, 'Stopping the Introduction of Nuclear Weapons Into the Middle East'", February 27, 1969, Wilson Center Digital Archive, Mandatory declassification review request. Originally published in Avner Cohen and William Burr, eds., National Security Archive Electronic Briefing Book #485 (September 12, 2014).
<https://wilson-center.drivingcreative.com/document/121094>

Summary:

Ralph Earle, a senior official at the Pentagon's Office of International Security Affairs [DOD/ISA] who had worked closely with Warnke, sent Laird a memorandum, requesting a meeting with Rogers, Kissinger, and Helms on the Israeli nuclear problem. The paper further restated the recommendation to keep the issue out of the National Security Council process.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Carnegie Corporation of New York (CCNY)

Original Language:

English

Contents:

Original Scan



INTERNATIONAL SECURITY AFFAIRS

~~TOP SECRET~~
 ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

21 FEB 1969

I-35138/69

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

 SUBJECT: Stopping the Introduction of Nuclear Weapons Into the
 Middle East

The question of Israel's introduction of nuclear weapons into the Middle East is being proposed for NSC consideration in two different contexts: first, as part of an overall review and up-dating of the National Security Action Memorandum (NSAM) series of the last Administration; and second, as part of a response to NSAM 13 concerning ways to obtain signatures on the NPT from various governments.

As you know from your conversations with Paul Warnke and from his memorandum to you on this subject of 15 February, our view is that this issue is too sensitive and too difficult to handle through the large machinery of the NSC. Paul Warnke reported to you in that memorandum his belief, which we share

25X1 and 6, E.O.13526

Stopping this can only come about by direct intercession at the highest levels with the Government of Israel.

We recommend two things:

1. That Defense take the position that the issue of Israeli acquisition of nuclear weapons not be addressed through the NSC machinery.

APPROVE

FEB 27 1969

DISAPPROVE

2. That you suggest an early meeting on this subject with Secretary Rogers, Mr. Kissinger, and Mr. Helms. A memorandum to this effect is attached for your consideration and signature.

APPROVE

FEB 27 1969

DISAPPROVE

 EXEMPT FROM EO 12958, Sec 1.4 (b) (4)
 OSD F.S. 113 Date 30 July 2002
 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification
 Other Agency Reply: YES

Enclosure a/s

 Approved for Release
 Date 12-28-2004
 Ref: [illegible]

1010

See Def Cont Mr. X-

~~TOP SECRET~~

52/367

~~TOP SECRET~~

THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

27 FEB 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF STATE
ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR NATIONAL SECURITY AFFAIRS
DIRECTOR, CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

SUBJECT: Stopping the Introduction of Nuclear Weapons Into the Middle East

25X1 and 6, E.O. 13526

From all of the available intelligence and from the intensive conver-
sations here in Defense with Ambassador Rabin in the fall of 1968,

I do not
believe this coincides with the interests of the United States, and, in
fact, constitutes the single most dangerous phenomenon in an area dangerous
enough without nuclear weapons.

The problem is how to stop this development. If the Israelis complete
the development of a nuclear weapon within the next three to six months
-- which is quite possible -- we will be powerless to do more than invoke
sanctions, i.e., cease delivery of F-4s after the "introduction" of nuclear
weapons into the area. Such a negative course would not take us very far.
The Israelis would be unable and unwilling to reverse their course. More-
over, their requirement for conventional strength would be greater, not
less, and the likelihood of our actually invoking the sanctions would
not be great in such circumstances. Furthermore, at any time prior to
such events, or certainly not long thereafter, we may well be faced with
public knowledge of the essential facts. So far these facts have remained
in the category of vague, unsubstantiated, and not fully accepted rumors;
but we are depending primarily on luck. Once the public is made aware of
the situation the Administration's delicate task will become even more
difficult.

I believe we should meet very soon to consider how to proceed on this,
followed by an early meeting with the President. Because of the sensitivity
and complexity of this issue, I suggest this not be dealt with through the
regular NSC machinery.



OSD Rcy No. 3

Classified by 1006
Date 10/10/2006
Ext. Security Control 1006

EXEMPT FROM EO 12958, Sec 1.4 (b) (4)
OSD P. 1.4.2, Date 30 July 2008
Review Exemption Exp. 31 Dec 2027
Other Agency Reply: None

Sec Def Cont Hr. X- 1010

~~TOP SECRET~~

Copy 1 of 12 Copies.